MIT-CTP-4862
SU-ITP-16/19

cMERA for Interacting Fields

Jordan S. Cotler,[1] Javier Molina-Vilaplana,[2] and Mark T. Mueller[3]

[1]*Stanford Institute for Theoretical Physics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305*
[2]*Universidad Politécnica de Cartagena. C/Dr Fleming S/N. 30202 Cartagena. Spain*
[3]*Center for Theoretical Physics, MIT, Cambridge, MA 02139 USA*

(Dated: February 7, 2017)

We upgrade cMERA to a systematic variational ansatz and develop techniques for its application to interacting quantum field theories in arbitrary spacetime dimensions. By establishing a correspondence between the first two terms in the variational expansion and the Gaussian Effective Potential, we can exactly solve for a variational approximation to the cMERA entangler. As examples, we treat scalar φ^4 theory and the Gross-Neveu model and extract non-perturbative behavior. We also comment on the connection between generalized squeezed coherent states and more generic entanglers.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ud, 03.67.-a, 03.70.+k, 04.20.Fy

arXiv:1612.02427v2 [hep-th] 5 Feb 2017

Introduction. Tensor networks are a powerful tool for probing interacting many-body lattice systems. They represent classes of systematic variational ansatzes which can be used in the Rayleigh-Ritz variational principle to solve for an approximation of an interacting ground state. Methods also exist for determining excited states, finite temperature states, and time-dependent states.

A notable example in the tensor network literature is the multiscale entanglement renormalization ansatz, or MERA [1–4]. This ansatz (or more accurately, class of ansatzes) provides a robust systematic variational approximation which has been demonstrated in many numerical studies [5–12]. The central insight of MERA is that it instantiates a modern version of Kadanoff's block spin renormalization group by creating states through building up entanglement correlations on a hierarchy of distance scales. In classic block spin renormalization, one considers the effective dynamics of blocks of spins on a lattice by coarse graining the dynamics of the original spins. This coarse graining procedure is iterated to capture the long-distance behavior of the system. Similarly, the MERA ansatzes efficiently parametrize the effective entanglement between spins, blocks of spins, blocks of blocks of spins, and so on.

It is natural to generalize MERA to continuum quantum field theories. However, the continuum generalization known as cMERA has had comparatively limited scope, having only been applied to non-interacting field theories [13–15]. Furthermore, the standard cMERA ansatz lacks the generality of MERA, and has not been treated as a systematic variational approximation like its lattice counterpart.

In this paper, we upgrade the cMERA ansatz to a systematic variational approximation and introduce techniques to analytically and numerically solve for the variational approximations of states in interacting QFT's in arbitrary spacetime dimensions. We connect these ideas to existing work on variational methods in QFT, and propose new connections with multi-mode generalized squeezed coherent states appearing in the quantum optics literature.

From MERA to cMERA. To motivate the cMERA ansatzes, we first briefly review the MERA ansatz. Suppose we are given a simple IR state $|\Psi_{\rm IR}\rangle$ on a lattice, such as one with no entanglement that factorizes with respect to the lattice sites. We would like to modify this state by building up entanglement at progressively smaller distances so as to create an effective state $|\Psi_u\rangle$ which captures correlations of the ground state of a Hamiltonian H at a scale u much less than the IR scale.

To create entanglement at each scale, we introduce the fine-graining isometries W_i and the entangler unitaries U_i. (In contexts where one instead flows from the UV to the IR, the Hermitian conjugates $W_i^\dagger$ and $U_i^\dagger$ are used, and referred to as coarse-grainers and disentanglers.) A fine-graining isometry W_i embeds a state into a larger lattice, effectively introducing new UV degrees of freedom. In turn, an entangler unitary U_i locally entangles the new UV degrees of freedom with the embedded degrees of freedom. We construct the state $|\Psi_u\rangle$ by

$$|\Psi_u\rangle = U_u W_u \cdots W_1 U_1 W_0 U_0 |\Psi_{\rm IR}\rangle\,, \tag{1}$$

namely through applying fine-graining and entangling operations in succession at progressively smaller distance scales [4].

MERA provides an efficient parametrization of the W_i's and U_i's, and allows one to economically minimize $\langle\Psi_u|\widehat{H}_u|\Psi_u\rangle$ with respect to those parameters, where $\widehat{H}_u$ is the Hamiltonian at scale u. The state $|\Psi_u\rangle$, evaluated at the minimizing parameters, is then the variational approximation to the ground state of the system at scale u. But since $|\Psi_u\rangle$ is built up hierarchically at different distance scales, the optimized ansatz also captures information about the entanglement of the ground state at all scales intermediate between u and the IR. Thus, while the variational principle minimizes the energy of

the ansatz over short distance scales, the ansatz is hierarchically structured so that short-distance optimization leads to an accurate approximation of long-distance correlations.

The generalization to continuum QFT's is conceptually straightforward. We write [13–15]

$$|\Psi_u\rangle = \mathcal{P}\, \exp\left[-i \int_{u_{\rm IR}}^{u} (K(u') + L)\, du'\right] |\Psi_{\rm IR}\rangle \qquad (2)$$

which contains the path-ordered exponential of the dilatation operator L (the continuum analog of the fine-graining operator) and the generator $K(u')$ of the entangler unitary at scale u'. Since the dilatation operator L is fixed by the matter content of the QFT under consideration, the only variational parameters are those which parametrize $K(u')$.

At a conceptual level, we are done – upon choosing the form of $K(u')$ we can attempt to solve the variational problem for the ground state of an interacting continuum QFT. However, the art of variational methods lies in determining the particular form of the systematic variational approximation. More specifically, while we have chosen a powerful hierarchical structure for our ansatz due to the form of Eqn. (2), it is impractical to optimize over *all* Hermitian operator functions $K(u')$ and so we must choose a subspace (or sequence of subspaces) of Hermitian operator functions over which to optimize.

We will work with a QFT containing only one type of matter field, although the generalization to multiple matter fields is straightforward. For applying the cMERA ansatz in Eqn. (2) to interacting QFT's in $d+1$ spacetime dimensions, we propose to expand $K(u')$ as

$$\begin{aligned} K(u') = &-\frac{i}{2}\int d^d k_1 \, \left(g_{1,0}(k_1;u')\, a_k^\dagger - \text{h.c.}\right) \\ &-\frac{i}{2}\int d^d k_1 \int d^d k_2 \, \left(g_{2,0}(k_1,k_2;u')\, a_{k_1}^\dagger a_{k_2}^\dagger + g_{1,1}(k_1,k_2;u')\, a_{k_1}^\dagger a_{k_2} - \text{h.c.}\right) \\ &-\frac{i}{2}\int d^d k_1 \int d^d k_2 \int d^d k_3 \, \left(g_{3,0}(k_1,k_2,k_3;u')\, a_{k_1}^\dagger a_{k_2}^\dagger a_{k_3}^\dagger + \cdots - \text{h.c.}\right) \\ &- \cdots \end{aligned} \qquad (3)$$

where we will optimize over the variational functions $g_{i,j}$. (Of course, one could instead expand $K(u')$ in $\phi(k)$ and $\pi(k)$ with related variational functions.) In previous work, $K(u')$ was assumed to be at most quadratic in creation and annhilation operators [13–15] (i.e., truncating Eqn. (3) after the first two lines) which we view as only the first few terms in a systematic expansion of $K(u')$. Let us provide a physical interpretation of the expansion. In Eqn. (3), we see that the quadratic terms are responsible for generating particle pair correlations as a function of scale, whereas the cubic terms generate particle triplet correlations as a function of scale, and so on. Thus, truncating Eqn. (3) at order n in the creation and annihilation operators means the truncated ansatz generates at most n-tuplet correlations at each scale.

It is clear that if we wish to describe the ground state of free field theory within the cMERA framework, then it is sufficient to truncate the expansion in Eqn. (3) at quadratic order, since a free theory is fully characterized by its connected 2-point functions. Indeed, previous work on cMERA has only dealt with describing free fields using the quadratic form of $K(u')$. In the remainder of this paper we develop techniques for *analytically* solving the quadratic cMERA ansatz for interacting continuum QFT's in arbitrary dimensions. We also propose and comment on techniques to move beyond quadratic order.

Quadratic entangler. For the moment, let us work with relativistic, real scalar field theories with a mass gap. Such theories flow to free fields in the IR, and thus their IR ground states are exactly Gaussian wavefunctionals. We will minimize the expectation value of a Hamiltonian $\widehat{H}_u$ with respect to ansatz wavefunctionals $|\Psi_u\rangle$ which all have 1-point function $\langle\Psi_u|\widehat{\phi}(x)|\Psi_u\rangle = \overline{\phi}(x)$. In the case $\overline{\phi}(x) = 0$, we are finding a variational approximation to the ground state of $\widehat{H}_u$ (if there is no spontaneous symmetry breaking). Otherwise, for non-zero $\overline{\phi}(x)$, we are finding a variational approximation to the lowest-energy state of the theory with 1-point function $\overline{\phi}(x)$, which is an *interacting* excited state. Furthermore, optimizing over a class of states with fixed 1-point function gives us access to a variationally determined effective action $\Gamma[\overline{\phi}(x)]$ which generates correlation functions [16].

Letting $|\Omega\rangle$ be the scale-invariant IR state for the gapped systems under consideration, we can write down the most general cMERA ansatz with relativistic invariance that produces a 1-point function $\overline{\phi}(x)$ and a 2-point function $G(x,x')$. Truncating the entangler to quadratic order in creation and annihilation operators and working in the interaction picture with respect to the dilatation operator, we obtain the cMERA ansatz wavefunctional

$$\Psi_u^{\text{scal.}}[\phi] = \langle\phi|\, e^{-iuL}\,\mathcal{P}\, e^{\int d^dk\,\left(\alpha(k)\,a_k^\dagger - \alpha^*(k)\,a_k\right)} e^{\frac{1}{2}\int_{u_{\text{IR}}}^{u} du'\,\int d^dk\,\left(g(ke^{-u'};u')\,a_k^\dagger a_{-k}^\dagger - g^*(ke^{-u'};u')\,a_k a_{-k}\right)}|\Omega\rangle$$

$$= [\det(2\pi\, G)]^{-1/4}\, e^{-\frac{1}{4}\int^\Lambda d^dk\int^\Lambda d^dk'\,\left(\phi(k)-\overline{\phi}(k)\right)G^{-1}(k,k';u)\left(\phi(k')-\overline{\phi}(k')\right)} \tag{4}$$

where the distance scale profile $g(k,u)$ factorizes as $g(k;u) = \chi(u)\cdot\Theta(|k|/\Lambda)$ and Θ is a Heaviside step function which implements a high-frequency cutoff at scale Λ. Although we will work with a hard cutoff, the analysis generalizes simply for a soft cutoff function. Let us unpack Eqn. (4). We have not included the number operator in the quadratic entangler ansatz since this will only contribute an overall phase. The top line of the equation shows that the ansatz is a squeezed coherent state, with a multi-mode displacement operator parametrized by the α_k's and a multi-mode squeeze operator parametrized by $g(k;u')$ integrated over distance scales u'. The bottom equation asserts that the cMERA ansatz is equivalent to a Gaussian wavefunctional with kernel G^{-1} (i.e., the inverse Green's function) and mean field $\overline{\phi}(x)$. Indeed, one can use the QFT squeezed coherent state formalism [17, 18] to show the equivalences

$$\overline{\phi}(k) = \frac{1}{2\omega_k}\left(\alpha(k)+\alpha(-k)\right) \tag{5}$$

$$G^{-1}(k,k';u_{\text{UV}}) = 2\omega_\Lambda\, e^{-2\int_{u_{\text{IR}}}^{u_{\text{UV}}} du'\, g(ke^{u'};u')\,\delta^d(k+k')}, \tag{6}$$

where we use the notation $\omega_z := \sqrt{z^2+m_0^2}$ with m_0 being the bare mass.

In the case that our theory is a free massive scalar field, standard cMERA techniques allow one to solve for $g(k;u)$, and plugging this back into the ansatz gives the *exact* ground state. For interacting scalar field theories, solving the variational problem is more complicated. Luckily, there is an extensive literature on performing variational optimization in an interacting QFT with a Gaussian wavefunctional ansatz [17–22]. This set of techniques goes by the name of the "Gaussian Effective Potential." Since the quadratic entangler cMERA ansatz is equivalent to the a Gaussian wavefunctional by Eqn. (4), we can solve the variational problem in the Gaussian framework and then translate the answer into the cMERA framework via Eqn.'s (5) and (6).

Now let us give a concrete example of the variational principle in action for an interacting QFT. For convenience, we take $u_{\text{IR}} = -\infty$ and $u = u_{\text{UV}} = 0$. Consider real scalar φ^4 theory with a constant vacuum expectation value $\overline{\phi}$ and UV Hamiltonian

$$\widehat{H}_{\text{UV}} = \int d^dx\,\left[\frac{1}{2}\widehat{\pi}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla\widehat{\phi})^2 + \frac{m_0^2}{2}\,\widehat{\phi}^2 + \frac{\lambda_0}{4!}\,\widehat{\phi}^4\right] \tag{7}$$

with an implicit high-frequency cutoff Λ. Solving the variational problem, we find

$$g(k,u) = \frac{1}{2}\,\frac{e^{2u}}{e^{2u}+M^2/\Lambda^2}\cdot\Theta(|k|/\Lambda) \tag{8}$$

with the quasiparticle mass M satisfying the gap equation

$$M^2 = m_0^2 + \frac{\lambda_0}{2}\left(\overline{\phi}^2 + \int^\Lambda \frac{d^dk}{(2\pi)^d}\,\frac{1}{2\sqrt{k^2+M^2}}\right) \tag{9}$$

where the renormalized mass m_R and coupling λ_R at scale μ (for $\mu\le\Lambda$) are given by

$$m_R(\mu) = \mu \tag{10}$$

$$\lambda_R(\mu) = \lambda_0\,\frac{1-\lambda_0\, I_{-1}(\mu)/2}{1+\lambda_0\, I_{-1}(\mu)/4} \tag{11}$$

where we define

$$I_n(z) := \frac{1}{2}\int\frac{d^dp}{(2\pi)^d}\,(p^2+z^2)^{\frac{2n-1}{2}}\,. \tag{12}$$

One can show that the optimized ansatz captures all 1-loop correlation functions, and additionally captures the resummation of all daisy and super-daisy diagrams [19]. More generally, the variational optimization procedure is a machine which resums infinite classes of diagrams, allowing access to non-perturbative physics. For example, in the context of scalar φ^4 theory, the quadratic ansatz alone can detect non-perturbative phase transitions in various dimensions, and the triviality of standard φ^4 theory in 3+1 dimensions [21].

Notice that the only equation which remains to be solved to explicitly obtain the optimized ansatz is the gap equation (9). Remarkably, wavefunctional optimization over an infinite-dimensional space of kernels G has reduced to solving a single non-linear equation for M. While it is easy to solve the gap equation (9) numerically, it can in many cases be solved analytically in the large Λ limit. For example, in 1+1 dimensions the solution is

$$M^2 = \frac{\lambda_0}{8\pi}\,W\left(\frac{32\pi\,\Lambda^2}{\lambda_0}\,e^{\frac{4\pi}{\lambda_0}(2m_0^2+\lambda_0\overline{\phi}^2)}\right) + \mathcal{O}(1/\Lambda^2)\,, \tag{13}$$

where $W(z)$ is the Lambert W function. Indeed, Eqn. (13) (and thus Eqn. (8)) is non-perturbative in the coupling of the theory.

For relativistic fermionic systems, Eqn. (4) needs to be modified from the bosonic case although it will maintain a similar form. One difference is that fermionic states have vanishing 1-point functions, and likewise so should the ansatz. Letting $\theta(k), \theta^\dagger(k)$ be Grassmann-values functions following the formalism of [23], we have the fermionic wavefunctional ansatz

$$\Psi_u^{\text{ferm.}}[\theta,\theta^\dagger] = \langle\theta,\theta^\dagger|\, e^{-iuL}\,\mathcal{P}\, e^{\frac{1}{2}\int_{u_{\text{IR}}}^{u} du'\,\int d^dk\,\left(f_k^\dagger\,\mathbf{g}(ke^{-u'};u')\,f_k - f_k\,\mathbf{g}^\dagger(ke^{-u'};u')\,f_k^\dagger\right)}|\Omega\rangle$$

$$= \left[\det(4\pi\,\mathbf{G}^{-1})\right]^{1/4} e^{-\frac{1}{2}\int^{\Lambda} d^dk \int^{\Lambda} d^dk'\,\theta^\dagger(k)\,\mathbf{G}(k,k';u)\,\theta(k')} \tag{14}$$

where in this case the distance scale profile $\mathbf{g}(k;u)$ is a *matrix* which factorizes as $\mathbf{g}(k;u) = \boldsymbol{\chi}(u)\cdot\Theta(|k|/\Lambda)\,k$ and $|\Omega\rangle$ now denotes the fermion IR state. Notice that $\mathbf{g}(k;u)$ is now linear in k so that the ansatz reproduces the exact ground state of a free fermion theory. Also, $\mathbf{G}$ is now the fermionic Green's function. We will restrict our attention to 1+1 dimensions where the gamma matrices are Pauli matrices, and the construction is particularly transparent. In 1+1 dimensions we ansatz

$$K(u) = i\int dk\,\Big(g(k;u)\psi_1^\dagger(k)\psi_2(k) + g^*(k;u)\psi_1(k)\psi_2^\dagger(k)\Big) \tag{15}$$

where $g(k;u)$ is a scalar function. We have the equivalence

$$\mathbf{G}(k,k';u_{\text{UV}}) = e^{2\sigma_2\int_{u_{\text{IR}}}^{u_{\text{UV}}} du'\,g(ke^{u'},u')\,\delta^d(k+k')} \tag{16}$$

which is similar in form to Eqn. (6).

As an example of the fermion formalism, consider the Gross-Neveu Hamiltonian in 1+1 dimensions, namely

$$\widehat{H} = \int dx\,\left[-i\widehat{\psi}^\dagger\alpha\cdot\nabla\widehat{\psi} - \frac{\xi^2}{2}(\widehat{\psi}^\dagger\beta\widehat{\psi})^2 + \gamma\left(\sigma + \widehat{\psi}^\dagger\beta\widehat{\psi}\right)\right] \tag{17}$$

with an implicit frequency cutoff Λ, where $\gamma\left(\sigma+\psi^\dagger\beta\psi\right)$ is a Lagrange multiplier term enforcing a bilinear condensate σ. Solving the variational problem, we find that the ground state tunnels between two vacua with dynamically generated variational quasiparticle masses $M_\pm$ and non-zero condensate. The entanglers for the two vacua have the form

$$g_\pm(k,u) = \frac{1}{2}\Bigg[-\arcsin\frac{\Lambda e^u}{\sqrt{\Lambda^2e^{2u}+M_\pm^2}} + \frac{M_\pm\Lambda e^u}{M_\pm^2+\Lambda^2e^{2u}}\Bigg]\cdot\Theta(|k|/\Lambda)\,k\,. \tag{18}$$

The variational mass can be solved analytically for large Λ, and is given by

$$M_\pm = \pm\Lambda\cdot e^{-2\pi/\xi_R(\Lambda)^2} + \mathcal{O}(1/\Lambda^2) \tag{19}$$

where the renormalized coupling ξ_R at scale Λ is

$$\xi_R(\Lambda)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{\xi^2} - I_0(\Lambda)\right)^{-1}. \tag{20}$$

We see from Eqn. (19) that $M_\pm$ is non-perturbative in the renormalized coupling ξ_R.

The techniques overviewed in this section generalize to systems of coupled bosons and fermions, gauge theories, and finite temperature theories [24–27]. Additionally, one can solve for time-dependent states including non-equilibrium states [31–34]. These same techniques are also useful for calculating variational approximations to entanglement entropy in interacting as well as quenched theories [35–37].

Beyond quadratic order. We briefly comment on techniques to go beyond quadratic order in the entangler, although explicit constructions will be given in future work. The central difficulty in going beyond quadratic order is the ability to efficiently compute expectation values of a non-quadratic ansatz with respect to a Hamiltonian. In particular, it is famously difficult to compute moments of non-Gaussian wavefunctionals.

Since the entangler $K(u')$ lies in an exponential, one possible approach is to perform perturbation theory in its non-quadratic terms. Although the resulting ansatz is perturbative in the idealized entangler, the resulting variationally optimized ansatz can be *non*-perturbative in the parameters of the Hamiltonian, and indeed produce correlations which come from re-summing infinite classes of diagrams. Various perturbative methods in this vein can be found in [38–43].

On the other hand, one could hope to gain analytic traction by choosing special forms of the disentangler which give rise to wavefunctionals with calculable moments. Since the quadratic ansatz is a multi-mode squeezed coherent state, it is natural to understand its tractable generalizations which are discussed in the quantum optics literature (for example, see [44–47]). These techniques can yield a class of non-quadratic cMERA ansatzes with calculable moments.

Instead of relying on largely analytic tools, one could lattice regularize a QFT and implement a truncated version of the disentangler in Eqn. (2) completely numerically. This might be a useful tool for analyzing interacting QFT's.

Discussion. While cMERA has existed for a number of years, it seems that its potential for capturing information about interacting fields theories has been untapped. This paper initiates a program to generalize the cMERA ansatz into a systematic variational expansion, and seeks to widen the scope of cMERA's applications. We have shown that there is an equivalence between cMERA with a quadratic entangler and the Gaussian wavefunctional ansatz, and established a dictionary between the two variational methods for bosons and fermions. Already at quadratic order in the disentangler, we showed that cMERA can access non-perturbative behavior of QFT's. In future work, it would be interesting to explore applications to holography and entanglement geometry, gapless theories like conformal field theories, and the landscape of generalized cMERA ansatzes.

Acknowledgements. JC is supported by the Fannie and John Hertz Foundation and the Stanford Graduate Fellowship program. MTM is supported by the MIT Department of Physics under U.S. Department of Energy grant Contract Number de-sc00012567. JMV is supported by Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad FIS2015-69512-R and Programa de Excelencia de la Fundación Séneca 19882/GERM/15. We thank Patrick Hayden, Guifré Vidal, Tom Imbo, Hong Liu, Nima Lashkari and Tobias Osborne for valuable conversations and feedback.

[1] Vidal, Guifré. "Entanglement renormalization." *Physical Review Letters* 99.22 (2007): 220405.

[2] Vidal, Guifré. "Class of quantum many-body states that can be efficiently simulated." *Physical Review Letters* 101.11 (2008): 110501.

[3] Vidal, Guifré. "Entanglement renormalization: an introduction." arXiv:0912.1651 (2009).

[4] Hauru, Markus. "Multiscale Entanglement Renormalisation Ansatz." (2013).

[5] Evenbly, Glen, and Guifr Vidal. "Algorithms for entanglement renormalization." *Physical Review B* 79.14 (2009): 144108.

[6] Corboz, Philippe, and Guifré Vidal. "Fermionic multiscale entanglement renormalization ansatz." *Physical Review B* 80.16 (2009): 165129.

[7] Corboz, Philippe, et al. "Simulation of interacting fermions with entanglement renormalization." *Physical Review A* 81.1 (2010): 010303.

[8] Evenbly, G., and G. Vidal. "Entanglement renormalization in noninteracting fermionic systems." *Physical Review B* 81.23 (2010): 235102.

[9] Evenbly, G., and G. Vidal. "Entanglement renormalization in free bosonic systems: real-space versus momentum-space renormalization group transforms." *New Journal of Physics* 12.2 (2010): 025007.

[10] Evenbly, Glen, and Guifré Vidal. "Frustrated antiferromagnets with entanglement renormalization: Ground state of the spin-1 2 heisenberg model on a kagome lattice." *Physical Review Letters* 104.18 (2010): 187203.

[11] Evenbly, G., et al. "Boundary quantum critical phenomena with entanglement renormalization." *Physical Review B* 82.16 (2010): 161107.

[12] Evenbly, Glen, and Guifré Vidal. "Quantum criticality with the multi-scale entanglement renormalization ansatz." *Strongly Correlated Systems*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013. 99-130.

[13] Haegeman, Jutho, et al. "Entanglement renormalization for quantum fields in real space." *Physical Review Letters* 110.10 (2013): 100402.

[14] Nozaki, Masahiro, Shinsei Ryu, and Tadashi Takayanagi. "Holographic geometry of entanglement renormalization in quantum field theories." *Journal of High Energy Physics* 2012.10 (2012): 1-40.

[15] Mollabashi, Ali, et al. "Holographic geometry of cMERA for quantum quenches and finite temperature." *arXiv:1311.6095* (2013).

[16] Cornwall, John M., Roman Jackiw, and Eleftherios Tomboulis. "Effective action for composite operators." *Physical Review D* 10.8 (1974): 2428.

[17] Tsue, Yasuhiko, and Yoshikazu Fujiwara. "Time-dependent variational approach in terms of squeezed coherent states." *Progress of Theoretical Physics* 86.2 (1991): 443-467.

[18] Tsue, Yasuhiko, and Yoshikazu Fujiwara. "Time-dependent variational approach to (1+ 1)-dimensional scalar-field solitons in terms of squeezed coherent states." *Progress of Theoretical Physics* 86.2 (1991): 469-489.

[19] Barnes, Ted, and G. I. Ghandour. "Renormalization of trial wave functionals using the effective potential." *Physical Review D* 22.4 (1980): 924.

[20] Stevenson, P. M. "Gaussian effective potential: Quantum mechanics." *Physical Review D* 30.8 (1984): 1712.

[21] Stevenson, P. M. "Gaussian effective potential. II – $\lambda\varphi^4$ field theory." *Physical Review D* 32.6 (1985): 1389.

[22] Stevenson, P. M., and I. Roditi. "Gaussian effective potential. III – φ^6 theory and bound states." *Physical Review D* 33.8 (1986): 2305.

[23] Floreanini, R., and R. Jackiw. "Functional representation for fermionic quantum fields." *Physical Review D* 37.8 (1988): 2206.

[24] Namgung, W., P. M. Stevenson, and J. F. Reed. "Gaussian-effective-potential studies of fermion-scalar theories." *Zeitschrift für Physik C Particles and Fields* 45.1 (1989): 47-56.

[25] Yee, Jae Hyung. "Schrodinger picture representation of quantum field theory." No. SNUTP-92-40. 1992.

[26] Ibanez-Meier, R., I. Stancu, and P. M. Stevenson. "Gaussian effective potential for the U (1) Higgs model." *Zeitschrift für Physik C Particles and Fields* 70.2 (1996): 307-319.

[27] Siringo, Fabio, and Luca Marotta. "Gaussian effective potential for the standard model SU (2) U (1) electroweak theory." *Physical Review D* 78.1 (2008): 016003.

[28] Roditi, Itzhak. "Scalar fields at finite temperature: The gaussian effective potential approach." *Physics Letters B* 169.2-3 (1986): 264-270.

[29] Hajj, G. A., and P. M. Stevenson. "Finite-temperature effects on the Gaussian effective potential." *Physical Re-*

view D 37.2 (1988): 413.

[30] Lee, Hyuk-Jae, Kyunghyun Na, and Jae Hyung Yee. "Finite temperature quantum field theory in the functional Schrdinger picture." *Physical Review D* 51.6 (1995): 3125.

[31] Jackiw, R., and A. Kerman. "Time-dependent variational principle and the effective action." *Physics Letters A* 71.2-3 (1979): 158-162.

[32] Pi, So-Young, and M. Samiullah. "Renormalizability of the time-dependent variational equations in quantum field theory." *Physical Review D* 36.10 (1987): 3128.

[33] Tsue, Yasuhiko, and Yoshikazu Fujiwara. "Time-dependent variational approach in terms of squeezed coherent states." *Progress of Theoretical Physics* 86.2 (1991): 443-467.

[34] Eboli, O., R. Jackiw, and So-Young Pi. "Quantum fields out of thermal equilibrium." *Physical Review D* 37.12 (1988): 3557.

[35] Cotler, Jordan S., and Mark T. Mueller. "Entanglement entropy and variational methods: Interacting scalar fields." *Annals of Physics* 365 (2016): 91-117.

[36] Cotler, Jordan S., and Mark T. Mueller. "Entanglement Entropy of the Gross-Neveu Model." arXiv:1512.00023 (2015).

[37] Cotler, Jordan S., et al. "Entanglement Growth after a Global Quench in Free Scalar Field Theory." arXiv:1609.00872 (2016).

[38] Stancu, I., and P. M. Stevenson. "Second-order corrections to the Gaussian effective potential of $\lambda\varphi^4$ theory." *Physical Review D* 42.8 (1990): 2710.

[39] Stancu, Ion. "Post-Gaussian effective potential in scalar and scalar-fermion theories." *Physical Review D* 43.4 (1991): 1283.

[40] Cea, Paolo, and Luigi Tedesco. "Perturbation theory with a variational basis: The Generalized Gaussian effective potential." *Physical Review D* 55.8 (1997): 4967.

[41] Yee, Jae Hyung. "Variational approach to quantum field theory: Gaussian approximation and the perturbative expansion around it." hep-th/9707234 (1997).

[42] Lee, Geon Hyoung, and Jae Hyung Yee. "Perturbative expansion around the Gaussian effective action: The background field method." *Physical Review D* 56.10 (1997): 6573.

[43] Siringo, Fabio. "Higher order extensions of the Gaussian effective potential." *Physical Review D* 88.5 (2013): 056020.

[44] D'Ariano, G. M., et al. "Multiphoton and Fractional-Photon Squeezed States." *Squeezed and Nonclassical Light*. Springer US, 1989. 301-319.

[45] Braunstein, Samuel L., and Carlton M. Caves. "Phase and homodyne statistics of generalized squeezed states." *Physical Review A* 42.7 (1990): 4115.

[46] Ansari, Nadeem A., and V. I. Manko. "Photon statistics of multimode even and odd coherent light." *Physical Review A* 50.2 (1994): 1942.

[47] Dodonov, V. V., V. I. Manko, and D. E. Nikonov. "Even and odd coherent states for multimode parametric systems." *Physical Review A* 51.4 (1995): 3328.